UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/667,366	09/22/2000	Christopher John Ling	GB9-1999-0146US1	9751
45541 HOFFMAN WA	7590 07/21/200 ARNICK LLC	EXAMINER		
75 STATE ST		LANIER, BENJAMIN E		
14TH FLOOR ALBANY, NY 12207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2132	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/667,366	LING, CHRISTOPHER JOHN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BENJAMIN E. LANIER	2132	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ris action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest solution is/are withdrest solution is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-14 and 18-20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers.	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir 	ccepted or b) objected to by the let drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 09/667,366 Page 2

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 05 May 2008 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment filed 05 May 2008 amends claims 1, 7, 15, and 17. Applicant's amendment has been fully considered and entered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the Shi reference in view of the claim amendments have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous rejections have been withdrawn.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: Delete "in" on line 7. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/667,366 Page 3

Art Unit: 2132

7. Claim 15 recites, "wherein the element of said web page is eliminated from use by

another Web site than that of the web server," which renders the claims indefinite because it is

unclear how the element is eliminated from use by another web site.

8. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for

omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP

§ 2172.01. The omitted steps are: how the element of the web page is eliminated from use by

another web site. The specification (Page 11, lines 1-11) details several unclaimed steps that

would be essential for performing the claimed elimination.

9. Claims 16-17 are rejected based upon their dependence on claim 15.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 1-14, 18-20 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The claims are generally concerned with determining whether a client request for a web page

element will be granted based upon whether the client had previously requested access to the

actual web page that includes requested web page element. Access to the requested web page

element will not be granted unless an indication of a previous request for the web page exists.

The prior art does not disclose or make obvious these claimed features.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Shuster, U.S. Patent No. 6,922,781

Application/Control Number: 09/667,366 Page 4

Art Unit: 2132

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to BENJAMIN E. LANIER whose telephone number is (571)272-

3805. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Benjamin E Lanier/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2132